

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/050,879	TILL, JONATHAN S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	

All Participants:(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.(2) William E Curry.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 October 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

37-49

Prior art documents discussed:

Hoffman et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.
(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was suggested that new claims 37-49 be cancelled since Hoffman et al clearly discloses the limitation of the claim directed to "breaking chemical bonds about lens fibers of the eye" . It was agreed that claims 37-49 wold be cancelled by Examiner's Amendment..